

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/070,338	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KARAM ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> GREG BENGZON	<b>Art Unit</b> 2444	

**All Participants:**

 (1) GREG BENGZON.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

 (3) Matthew Ellsworth, Reg.56345.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 15 September 2009
**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*Claims 1,24,54*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Examiner Bengzon discussed possible claim amendments to overcome USC 101 issues and further prosecution towards allowance. Mr. Ellsworth presented claim amendments and indicated authorization for Examiner's Amendments.*
**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/William C. Vaughn, Jr./  
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2444  
 /G. B./  
 Examiner, Art Unit 2444

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)